
(or, Deadlock-free sessions
with failure in Rust)

by Wen Kokke



## ATALE OF FOUR EXAMPLES


```
(by Fowler et al.)
Looks like this:
                             let s = \mathbf{fork}(\lambda(s: !1. End).
                                   \mathbf{let}\ s = \mathbf{send}((), s)
                                   close(s)
                             \mathbf{let}\;((),s)=\mathbf{recv}(s)
                             close(s)
```

```
(by me)
Looks like this:
        let s = fork!(move | s: Send<(), End>| {
            let s = send((), s)?;
            close(s)
        });
        let ((), s) = recv(s)?;
        close(s)
```

## I KNOW, THE FONTS ARE VERY DIFFERENT

#### ROADMAP

- » talk about Exceptional GV
- » talk about Rusty Variation
- » what are the differences?
- » what are the similarities?



Let's see how our example EGV program executes!

```
\mathbf{let}\ s = \mathbf{fork}(\lambda(s: !1. \operatorname{End}).
\mathbf{let}\ s = \mathbf{send}((), s)
\mathbf{close}(s)
\mathbf{let}\ ((), s) = \mathbf{recv}(s)
\mathbf{close}(s)
```

We mark the main thread with a • Next we evaluate the fork instruction

Let's see how our example EGV program executes!

$$(
u a)(
u b) \left( egin{array}{c} \mathbf{let} \ s = a \ \mathbf{let} \ ((),s) = \mathbf{recv}(s) \ \mathbf{close}(s) \end{array} 
ight) \ \left( egin{array}{c} \mathbf{let} \ s = \mathbf{send}((),b) \ \mathbf{close}(s) \end{array} 
ight) \ \left( egin{array}{c} \mathbf{close}(s) \ a(\epsilon) & \longleftrightarrow b(\epsilon) \end{array} 
ight) \ \left( egin{array}{c} \mathbf{let} \ s = \mathbf{send}((),b) \ \mathbf{close}(s) \end{array} 
ight) \ \left( egin{array}{c} \mathbf{close}(s) \ \mathbf{close}(s) \ \mathbf{close}(s) \end{array} 
ight) \ \left( egin{array}{c} \mathbf{close}(s) \ \mathbf{close}(s) \ \mathbf{close}(s) \end{array} 
ight) \ \left( egin{array}{c} \mathbf{close}(s) \ \mathbf{close}(s) \$$

This forks off the process and allocates a buffer Next we evaluate the let binding

Let's see how our example EGV program executes!

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{let}\;((),s) = \mathbf{recv}(a) \\ \mathbf{close}(s) \end{pmatrix} \parallel \\ \begin{pmatrix} (\mathbf{v}a)(\mathbf{v}b) \\ \mathbf{close}(s) \\ \mathbf{close}(s) \end{pmatrix} \parallel \\ a(\epsilon) \leftrightsquigarrow b(\epsilon) \end{pmatrix}$$

The receive instruction blocks on the empty buffer Next we evaluate the send instruction

Let's see how our example EGV program executes!

This moves the value to the buffer Next we evaluate the let binding

Let's see how our example EGV program executes!

$$(
u a)(
u b) \left( egin{array}{cl} \mathbf{let} \ ((),s) = \mathbf{recv}(a) \ \mathbf{close}(s) \end{array} 
ight) \ \circ \ (\mathbf{close}(b)) \ a((),\epsilon) \leftrightsquigarrow b(\epsilon) \end{array} 
ight)$$

The close instruction blocks (it is synchronous)
Next we evaluate the receive instruction

Let's see how our example EGV program executes!

$$(
u a)(
u b) \left(egin{array}{c} \mathbf{let}\ ((),s)=((),a) \ \mathbf{close}(s) \end{array}
ight) & \parallel \ a(\epsilon) \leftrightsquigarrow b(\epsilon) \end{array}
ight)$$

This moves the value to the main thread Next we evaluate the let binding

Let's see how our example EGV program executes!

$$(
u a)(
u b) \left(egin{array}{c} ullet (\mathbf{close}(a)) & \parallel \ & \circ (\mathbf{close}(b)) & \parallel \ & a(\epsilon) \leftrightsquigarrow b(\epsilon) \end{array}
ight)$$

The close instructions are no longer blocked

(The buffer is empty and there is a close instruction waiting on either side)

Next we evaluate the close instructions

Let's see how our example EGV program executes!



Fin

What about our Rust program?

```
let s = fork!(move |s: Send<(), End>| {
    let s = send((), s)?;
    close(s)
});
let ((), s) = recv(s)?;
close(s)
```

```
let s = fork!(move |s: Send<(), End>| {
    let s = send((), s)?;
    close(s)
});
let ((), s) = recv(s)?;
close(s)
```

```
let (s, here) = <Send<(), End> as Session>::new();
std::thread::spawn(move | | {
   let r = (move | | -> Result<_, Box<Error>> {
        let s = send((), s)?;
        close(s)
    })();
    match r {
        0k(_) => (),
        Err(e) => panic!("{}", e.description()),
});
let s = here
let ((), s) = recv(s)?;
```

```
let (b, a) = \langle Send \langle (), End \rangle as Session \rangle :: new();
std::thread::spawn(move | | {
    let r = (move | -> Result<_, Box<Error>> {
         let b = send((), b)?;
         close(b)
    })();
    match r {
         0k(_) => (),
         Err(e) => panic!("{}", e.description()),
});
let ((), a) = recv(a)?;
close(a)
```

```
let (b, a) = \langle Send \langle (), End \rangle as Session \rangle :: new();
std::thread::spawn(move | | {
    let r = (move | | -> Result<_, Box<Error>> {
         let b = send((), b)?;
         close(b)
    })();
    match r {
         0k() => (),
         Err(e) => panic!("{}", e.description()),
});
let ((), a) = recv(a)?;
close(a)
```

```
let (b, a) = \langle Send \langle (), End \rangle as Session \rangle :: new();
std::thread::spawn(move | {
   let b = send((), b)?;
       close(b)
   })();
   match r {
       0k() => (),
       Err(e) => panic!("{}", e.description()),
});
let ((), a) = recv(a)?;
close(a)
```

```
let (b, a) = \langle Send \langle (), End \rangle as Session \rangle :: new();
std::thread::spawn(move | | {
    let r = (move | -> Result<_, Box<Error>> {
         let b = send((), b)?;
         close(b)
    })();
    match r {
         0k() => (),
         Err(e) => panic!("{}", e.description()),
});
let ((), a) = recv(a)?;
close(a)
```

```
let (b, a) = \langle Send \langle (), End \rangle as Session \rangle :: new();
std::thread::spawn(move | | {
    let r = (move | | -> Result<_, Box<Error>> {
         let b = send((), b)?;
         close(b)
    })();
    match r {
         0k(_) => (),
         Err(e) => panic!("{}", e.description()),
});
let ((), a) = recv(a)?;
close(a)
```

```
let (b, a) = \langle Send \langle (), End \rangle as Session \rangle :: new();
std::thread::spawn(move | | {
    let r = (move | -> Result<_, Box<Error>> {
         let b = send((), b)?;
         close(b)
    })();
    match r {
         0k(_) => (),
         Err(e) => panic!("{}", e.description()),
});
let ((), a) = recv(a)?;
close(a)
```

# SOUNDS FAMILIAR?

# LET'S TALK ABOUT ERRORS

```
(by Fowler et al.)
Looks like this:
                        let s = \mathbf{fork}(\lambda(s:!1.End).
                             \mathbf{cancel}(s)
                        let((),s) = recv(s)
                        close(s)
```

```
(by me)
Looks like this:
        let s = fork!(move | s: Send<(), End>| {
            cancel(s)
        });
        let ((), s) = recv(s)?;
        close(s)
```

## I KNOW, THE FONTS ARE VERY DIFFERENT

#### 

Let's see how EGV handles errors!

```
let s = \mathbf{fork}(\lambda(s: !1. \mathrm{End}).
\mathbf{cancel}(s)
 \mathbf{let}\;((),s)=\mathbf{recv}(s) \mathbf{close}(s)
```

We mark the main thread with a • Next we evaluate the fork instruction

Let's see how EGV handles errors!

This forks off the process and allocates a buffer Next we evaluate the let binding

Let's see how EGV handles errors!

$$(
u a)(
u b) \left(egin{array}{c} \mathbf{let}\ ((),s) = \mathbf{recv}(a) \ \mathbf{close}(s) \end{array}
ight) & \parallel \ a(\epsilon) \leftrightsquigarrow b(\epsilon) \end{array}
ight)$$

The receive instruction blocks on the empty buffer Next we evaluate the cancel instruction

Let's see how EGV handles errors!

$$(
u a)(
u b) \left(egin{array}{c} \mathbf{let}\; ((),s) = \mathbf{recv}(a) \ \mathbf{close}(s) \end{array}
ight) \ a(\epsilon) \leftrightsquigarrow b(\epsilon) \ orall a \end{array}
ight)$$

This cancels the session and creates a zapper thread Next we evaluate the receive instruction

Let's see how EGV handles errors!

Receiving on a channel raises an exception if the other endpoint is cancelled

Let's see how EGV handles errors!

An uncaught exception turns into halt Next we garbage collect the buffer

Let's see how EGV handles errors!

• halt

Fin

#### RUSTY VARIATION

For that, let's look at how cancel is implemented:

```
fn cancel<T>(x: T) -> Result<(), Box<Error>> {
    Ok(())
}
```

Wait, what happened to x?

It went out of scope!

#### RUSTY VARIATION

What happens when a channel x leaves scope unused?

- » destructor is called
- » values in buffer are deallocated
- » destructors for values in buffer are called
- » buffer is marked as DISCONNECTED
- » calling recv on DISCONNECTED buffer returns Err

### SOUNDS FAMILIAR?

#### WHAT ARE THE DIFFERENCES?

```
» try/catch vs. error monad
  (using the "try L as x in N otherwise M" instruction)
» explicit close vs. implicit close
  fn close(s: End) -> Result<(), Box<Error>> {
      Ok(()) // `End` doesn't have a buffer
» explicit cancellation vs. implicit cancellation
  (what happens if we forget to complete a session?)
```

#### WHAT ARE THE DIFFERENCES?

» <u>simply-typed linear lambda calculus</u> vs. <u>Rust</u>

this means we have:

- » <u>no recursion</u> vs. <u>general recursion</u>
- » lock freedom vs. deadlock freedom
- » etc.

## HOW CAN WE GET DEADLOCKS IN RUSTY VARIATION?

» by storing channels in manually managed memory and not cleaning up

#### WHAT ARE THE SIMILARITIES?

- » in theory, everything else?
- » can we prove it?

"doesn't Rust have formal semantics? I heard so much about RustBelt!

no.

RustBelt formalises elaborated Rust and doesn't support many features we depend on.

#### WHAT ARE THE SIMILARITIES?

```
» in theory, everything else?
» can we prove it? no.
» can we test it?
   #[test]
    fn ping_works() {
       assert!(|| -> Result<(), Box<Error>> {
           // ...insert example here...
       }().is_ok()); // it actually is!
```

#### WHAT ARE THE SIMILARITIES?

- » in theory, everything else?
- » can we prove it? no.
- » can we test it? yes.
- » can we properly test it?

#### TESTING RUSTY VARIATION

Plan:

```
(x) use Feat/Neat¹ to generate EGV terms
( ) run terms in EGV
( ) run terms in Rust
( ) test if they behave the same
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Generating constrained random data with uniform distribution, Claessen, Duregård, & Pałka, 2015

#### HOW EFFICIENT IS RUSTY VARIATION?

- » buffers are either empty or non-empty
- » size of buffers is statically known
  (unless you're sending boxed references)
- » each buffer only involves a single allocation
- » size of session is statically known
  (but buffers are allocated lazily)
- » it's really quite efficient y'all

## RELATED WORK

### session-types

```
(by Laumann et al.)
```

- » library for session types in Rust
- » dibsed the best package name
- » embeds LAST<sup>2</sup> in Rust
  - (a linear language embedded in an affine one)
- » forget to complete a session? segfault!

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Linear type theory for asynchronous session types, Gay & Vasconcelos, 2010

# GONGLUSIONS

#### RUSTY VARIATION

- » embeds EGV into Rust
- » is unit tested
- » will be QuickChecked
- » is very efficient
- » improves session-types

